

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/073,184 02/13/2002 William M. Traut 5310 25183 12/09/2004 EXAMINER FOLEY HOAG, LLP PHAM, MINH CHAU THI PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BOSTON, MA 02110 1724

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/073,184	TRAUT ET AL		
	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>	
The MAILING DATE - 54	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	race	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the reamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MCON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTY tatute, cause the application to become ABA nailing date of this communication, even if times.	ONTH(S) FROM oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) This action is FINAL	<u> 2 October 2004</u> .		*	
The section is FINAL Ship a	F1. 1		\ ,	
onice this application is in condition for allo	Wanco overal fact	5. Drosecution as to the	0.014.0	
•	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213	ents is	
Disposition of Claims		0.0.210,		
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-19 is/are pending in the ap				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Oplication.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawn from consideration.			
6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-19 is/are rejected.	•	1		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subjected to.	•	,		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
oplication Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is large and	ner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the	cepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to, See 37 CER 1 1	24/4)	
	xaminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-15	∡ ι(u). 2	
2 0.0.0. 9 119			- .	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some * c) None of:	nriority			
		(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have boon			
		ition No	,	
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17 2/-)	ved in this National Stage	1	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified assis			
and a list	o. the certified copies not receiv	red.		
			1	
hment(s)		•	.	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	-		1	
NOUCE Of Draffsperson's Data-t D	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D	(PTO-413)		
	r auch Norsymbil D	210		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 1115

Application/Control Number: 10/073,184

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 3-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either the French reference (FR 2769850) or Oldham (4,649,677), in view of the German reference (DT 2617364).

The French reference discloses a filter made from activated carbon, received in a conduit (2) which is inserted at a point in the gas venting system of a septic tank. The conduit (2) containing the filter therein is sealingly attached to the septic gas vent piping (see Figs. 1, 4 and 5). Since the filter is contained within conduit (2), the filter is disposed in at least one aperture - the aperture of the conduit. The French reference further discloses a filter made from activated carbon, received in a conduit (2) which is inserted at a point in the gas venting system of a septic tank. The conduit (2) containing the filter therein is sealingly attached to the septic gas vent piping (see Figs. 1, 4 and 5). Since the filter is contained within conduit (2), the filter is disposed in at least one aperture - the aperture of the conduit. Claims 4-6 call for the conduit having different sizes and being misaligned. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the conduit to have different sizes or being misaligned since such modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Oldham discloses a septic tank (40) with an overhead pipe (46) through which methane gas

Application/Control Number: 10/073,184

Art Unit: 1724

transported, pipe (46) connects to the horizontal pipe (see horizontal pipe in Fig. 11 which connects to pipe (46) which then transport the methane gas through filter (48). The filter (48) is contained in the horizontal pipe through which the gas flows so it would meet the disposed in at least one aperture limitation, i.e. the pipe aperture. Claims 1 and 3-19 differ from the disclosure of either the French reference or Oldham in that the aperture is in downward facing position and a fixture mounted on the leg. The German reference discloses a vent for a septic system including a conduit to convey gas with a downward facing aperture (see 3 in Fig. 2) comprising a leg configured to mount on, conceal a portion of and convey gas from the conduit wherein the leg is configured for mounting a fixture thereon. The German reference further discloses the leg closely or sealingly receives the conduit and configured to convey gas from the conduit to the passage, and a fixture mounted on the leg where the fixture is a statue or a garden ornament. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a vent for a septic system with a mounted fixture thereon as taught by the German reference in the conduit of either the French reference or Oldham to provide an artificial landscaping device adapted to fit over the septic system covers or vents and look fully natural to an unsuspecting observer by blending into the landscaping.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed on October 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,184

Art Unit: 1724

Applicant amends independent claims 1 and 13 to have a downward facing aperture. The Examiner now introduces the French reference and Oldham as the primary references in combination with the German reference under the 103 rejection to show a downward facing aperture in the fixture mounted on the leg (see 3 in Fig. 2) wherein the aperture of the French reference or Oldham can be modified to have a downward facing position, as claimed, since the filter is contained within conduit, the filter is disposed in at least one aperture – the aperture of the conduit and the conduit can have different sizes and being misaligned. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the conduit to have different sizes or being misaligned since such modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as discussed above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724

November 12, 2004